

DECLASSIFIED  
PA/HO Department of State  
E.O. 12958, as amended  
August 6, 2007

DECLASSIFIED <sup>103</sup>  
Authority **NND969021**  
By **WBD** NARA Date **1/8/99**

DEF 18-6

DEF 1  
215438

33 FORM DS 322(OCR)

28 NOV 72 22

51Z

215438 | 282251Z NOV 72 | ROGERS

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

IO/UNP:JAARMITAGE:AVW  
11/28/72 2057Z  
IO:SAMUEL DE PALMA

NEA - DAVIES  
ACDA - NEIDLE  
L/UNA - WILLIS  
EUR/SOV - KADILIS

NEA/RA - CHASE  
DOD/ISA - ANDERSON (SUBST)  
PM/DCA - FUERTH

PRIORITY USUN NEW YORK  
ROUTINE MOSCOW

215438

SUBJ: NON-ALIGNED NON-USE OF FORCE RESOLUTION  
REF: USUN 4880

1. PREAMBULAR PARA READING "MINDFUL OF THE PRINCIPLE OF THE INADMISSIBILITY OF ACQUISITION OF TERRITORY BY FORCE AND THE INHERENT RIGHT OF STATES TO RECOVER SUCH TERRITORIES BY ALL THE MEANS AT THEIR DISPOSAL" IS UNACCEPTABLE. NO UN DOCUMENT TO DEPARTMENT'S KNOWLEDGE AFFIRMS RIGHT TO USE FORCE IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES AND WE COULD NOT ACCEPT IMPLICIT INTERPRETATION OF CHARTER TO THAT EFFECT.

2. WE UNDERSTAND PRC IS ATTEMPTING TO DISSUADE NON-ALIGNED FROM TABLING THIS DRAFT, IN WHICH EVENT THIS PROBLEM WOULD NOT ARISE. IF IT APPEARS THAT THERE IS REASONABLE PROBABILITY DRAFT WILL BE TABLED, MISSION SHOULD CONSULT SOONEST WITH WEOS TO SET FORTH OUR POSITION. WE WOULD NOT WISH TO SUGGEST SUITABLE WORDING OURSELVES, BUT WOULD HOPE SOME OF WEOS WOULD TAKE OUR POINT THAT THIS PARA ARTICULATES RIGHT TO USE OF FORCE OUTSIDE TERMS OF CHARTER AND HENCE INSUPPORTABLE. YOU SHOULD MAKE POINT THAT WORDING CHANGE WHICH REMOVES PRESUMPTION OF VALID USE OF FORCE WILL BE REQUIRED IF US IS TO ABSTAIN (INSTEAD OF CASTING NEGATIVE VOTE) AND WE BELIEVE THAT WEOS AS GROUP SHOULD FIND MERIT IN THIS POSITION.

3. IN VIEW OF SOV EFFORTS TO CONSULT US ON THIS RES, DEPT BELIEVES IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO INFORM SOVS ALSO OF POSITION STATED ABOVE. GDS YY

SDP  
JAA  
RD  
RC  
AN  
DA  
JW  
JS  
JK

20108  
RE108  
AA108  
DA108  
JW108  
JS108  
JK108

3